

This document is divided into five sections.

Page 1. The Original version of the summary by topics

Page 2. The second version of the summary by paragraph

Page 3-4. The third version of the summary more formalized

Page 5-6. The meeting minutes style of the meeting

Page 7-14. The raw transcript of the recording. No punctuation, some words were recorded incorrectly.

Original version of the summary

Summary

From your document:

1. **Eligibility Criteria for Residents:** The term "adult party" was replaced with "eligible residents" to clarify the criteria 1.
2. **Removal of References to Certain Materials:** The use of stucco for skirting materials was discussed, with a consensus that stucco should remain acceptable despite a proposed change to deem it unacceptable 1.
3. **Pet Ownership Requirements:** The rules were updated to reflect a balance between community safety and the rights of pet owners, including weight limits and liability insurance requirements 1.
4. **Documentation of Changes:** A proposal was made to combine the marked and clean copies into a single working document to facilitate easier access to information and streamline future discussions 1.
5. **Use of Technology:** The use of cloud storage for document sharing was proposed to improve collaboration among members 1.

1: [Document.docx]

Second version of the summary

This document captures a meeting where participants discuss revisions to a set of rules and regulations. The conversation highlights the need for clarity and coordination among members regarding the changes made to the documents.

During the meeting, members refer to both clean and marked copies of the documents to identify changes made. There is confusion over which version to work from, as some members have only the marked copies while others have both. It is emphasized that understanding the changes is crucial to ensure everyone is on the same page. Members discuss the necessity of having a clean copy that clearly indicates what has been altered, which is essential for effective communication and implementation of the changes. 1

A significant point of discussion revolves around specific rule changes, such as the eligibility criteria for residents, where the term "adult party" was replaced with "eligible residents." This alteration, among others, raises questions about clarity and the implications of such changes. The need to maintain accurate documentation and to ensure that all members have access to the latest information is highlighted throughout the conversation.

The meeting also addresses the removal of references to certain materials and the potential impact on existing structures within the community. For example, there is a discussion on the use of stucco for skirting materials, which some members believe should remain acceptable despite a proposed change to deem it unacceptable. This indicates a potential conflict between existing practices and proposed regulations.

Further discussions include the requirements for pet ownership within the community, specifically regarding weight limits and liability insurance. There is a consensus that the rules should reflect a balance between community safety and the rights of pet owners. The members agree that clarity in these regulations is necessary to avoid misunderstandings and ensure compliance.

As the meeting progresses, members express the need to document all changes clearly and concisely, with a proposal to combine the marked and clean copies into a single working document. This would facilitate easier access to information and streamline future discussions. The use of technology, such as cloud storage for document sharing, is also proposed to improve collaboration among members.

In conclusion, the meeting emphasizes the importance of clear communication, thorough documentation, and collaboration among members to navigate the complexities of rule changes effectively. The discussions reflect a commitment to ensuring that all stakeholders are informed and involved in the decision-making process, paving the way for a more cohesive community governance structure.

More formal version of the Summary

Certainly! Here is a more formal summary of the document:

The document details a meeting focused on revising a set of rules and regulations. The discussion underscores the necessity for clarity and coordination among members regarding the changes made to the documents.

Participants referred to both clean and marked copies of the documents to identify the changes. There was confusion over which version to work from, as some members had only the marked copies while others had both. It was emphasized that understanding the changes is crucial to ensure uniformity. Members discussed the importance of having a clean copy that clearly indicates alterations, which is essential for effective communication and implementation of the changes 1.

A significant point of discussion revolved around specific rule changes, such as the eligibility criteria for residents, where the term "adult party" was replaced with "eligible residents." This alteration, among others, raised questions about clarity and the implications of such changes. The need to maintain accurate documentation and ensure that all members have access to the latest information was highlighted throughout the conversation 1.

The meeting also addressed the removal of references to certain materials and the potential impact on existing structures within the community. For example, there was a discussion on the use of stucco for skirting materials, which some members believed should remain acceptable despite a proposed change to deem it unacceptable. This indicates a potential conflict between existing practices and proposed regulations 1.

Further discussions included the requirements for pet ownership within the community, specifically regarding weight limits and liability insurance. There was a consensus that the rules should reflect a balance between community safety and the rights of pet owners. Members agreed that clarity in these regulations is necessary to avoid misunderstandings and ensure compliance 1.

As the meeting progressed, members expressed the need to document all changes clearly and concisely, with a proposal to combine the marked and clean copies into a single working document. This would facilitate easier access to information and streamline future discussions. The use of technology, such as cloud storage for document sharing, was also proposed to improve collaboration among members 1.

In conclusion, the meeting emphasized the importance of clear communication, thorough documentation, and collaboration among members to navigate the complexities of rule changes effectively. The discussions reflected a commitment to ensuring that all stakeholders are informed and involved in the decision-making process, paving the way for a more cohesive community governance structure 1.

Meeting Minutes

Date: April 24, 2025

Attendees: Jim Wilson

Garry Hibbard

Karen Bettencourt

Victor Holcomb

Terry Westerbeke

Agenda: Revisions to Rules and Regulations

Discussion Points:

1. Eligibility Criteria for Residents

- The term "adult party" was replaced with "eligible residents" to clarify the criteria **1.**

2. Document Versions

- Confusion over which version to work from (clean vs. marked copies). Emphasis on the necessity of having a clean copy that clearly indicates alterations **1.**

3. Removal of References to Certain Materials

- Discussion on the use of stucco for skirting materials. Consensus that stucco should remain acceptable despite a proposed change to deem it unacceptable **1.**

4. Pet Ownership Requirements

- Rules updated to reflect a balance between community safety and the rights of pet owners, including weight limits and liability insurance requirements **1.**

5. Documentation of Changes

- Proposal to combine the marked and clean copies into a single working document to facilitate easier access to information and streamline future discussions **1.**

6. Use of Technology

- Proposal to use cloud storage for document sharing to improve collaboration among members **1.**

Decisions Made:

1. Eligibility Criteria for Residents

- The term "adult party" was replaced with "eligible residents" **1.**

2. Stucco for Skirting Materials

- Stucco will remain acceptable for skirting materials **1.**

3. Pet Ownership Requirements

- Weight limit for pets set at 40 pounds. Liability insurance required for pet owners **1.**
- 4. Documentation of Changes**
 - Combine marked and clean copies into a single working document **1.**
- 5. Use of Technology**
 - Utilize cloud storage for document sharing **1.**

Action Items:

- 1. Combine Document Versions**
 - Combine marked and clean copies into a single working document **1.**
- 2. Update Rules**
 - Update rules to reflect changes discussed, including eligibility criteria, skirting materials, and pet ownership requirements **1.**
- 3. Implement Cloud Storage**
 - Implement cloud storage for document sharing **1.**

Next Meeting: [Date of next meeting]

Raw Meeting Transcript

then this is be this will be the plane docket is and then want to change was and then whatever you guys decide to change will be on there also. I'm sorry. I'm dense today, Dan. What What am I looking at up there? The clean cocky. That's Terry sent us that on March 25th. And I printed this outfit, and I only printed out this one because we were gonna reviews them before. Right. And I get that. And I've been marking it up, which is fine, and I'm just, like she said to one who was repealed or what was repealed, and what was changed and stuff. And that's what I'm looking at. And I'm seen on Vics that there's like two or three paragraphs marked out. Yeah, that's what I got here. Okay. And that's, we't.. Oh, I. So we're not all working on the same sheet of music, it seems like. Well, you need to be working on both. You need to have the clean copy and what action has changed so you understand what change was this. They took that out, they took that out, and now it's renumbered on here.. Do you have that. Yeah. I didn't bring it with me, no. I thought, well, right wrong the side. I can make a copy of Isra quick when you get it to you. I probably got her home, but again, I thought we were my mistake. Well, we're just kind of going through a process of how we're trying to figure out what makes sense and what works and what doesn't work, you know. And I've got both now if that helps anybody. I have both, too. I actually copies. Okay.. And what are you got? Green ears, that changes that need to be done? No, this is just, uh... I was just reading and highlighting some stuff, and then I got ink all over me, and I changed to pink, so really, it starts on the pink side.. We used to have extra copies of. Well, What have you, we sent to them, in that envelope, wasn't the marked up copy, it was it correct, and that was the issue they had to clean copy and didn't know what was what what got changed. Yes. that was the clipically. Yeah. And they didn't know what to change it. You could have gave them this, but that would have confused them even more, what you needed to give them is, this is a clean copy, and where it says, this is what has changed, you know. Like the first change you got in there, and as I'm seeing it on your clean copy, is 1A is eligible residents where one A used to be adult party.. So it took all that out and made one sentence. Yeah, made that one A. And then they made the exceptions, so this is actually part of that rule. Right, it's all down there, but they didn't need that first paragraph to begin with. Mm hmm. So who has copies of this marked up one I have the clean and the marked, so I'm good..? Yeah, I've I only brought the mark to copies. claime that, I could probably print out for anybody that needs the mark.. What you want to?Cause I believe you made copies for us the last time. Do you have your marked up copy? I think that's what I've done, yeah. It's, um.. Just look at one A and see if it's got a bunch of lines through it. Well, see, mine is lined through like this, uh. No, okay. If this not, you got to claim copy that show me the front of that car, would you? The Okay. Like you said, the big words. Yeah. It starts

with rule. Rules part of documents. Did you want me to make some copies, Jim? Yeah, for the sake of an argument, probably wouldn't be that idea, that. So, how many decafes? I guess I do. Okay. Can I use yours? I thought I had it. Yeah, I bet I do have it at home. I'll bet I do, too.s. That's all right. Well, we're here at the meeting now, right? And I wrote on some of that, but I guess we'll just have to ignore that. It't. Well, I think what I just saw that we had written was at the end of it, you said, you wanted to put it so no board members can be employees or vice versa. And I don't see that on here, on the clean copy, so that was my questioned who was doing. Probably on Diane's. Yeah, because it's not in the rules anyplace.. But I wrote it in on that copy, but it's not., this copy, he's got We'll have him check it. Right. Hold on. Now he's got the mark up copy, but a minute ago, he had to clean copy up there. Well, he's slipping back and forth. He should have to clean copy with the changes, so that change your talk about should already be in that copy, as a. Oh, your fil's up that he has up here, like clean files? Are they word files? Yes. Yeah. Well, just e email me the file and make it easier for me, because I've been sitting there converting them to just scanning a document in converting it to Word. When did he send us to you? I got this clean copy on March 25th and I had Dan print it for me because So I don't know what kind of a document it is, but. I have to look and say, of course. It wasn't word doc..Cause it has. When you open up the. that looked like there were several ones. It converts it to a word document. I don't know how to print it, I don't know how to save it. Right. It has several different types of. I keep getting things from the. document association. Whatever that logo is, I don't recognize words. whatever that is. Yeah. So I've been throwing some of that stuff. I don't way the r. Well, I probably we've all done that before, because it's. I did. I got it twice about the Quolks.s. I got so you know, so I just said, well, this isn't what you got. The guys would give me this stuff. I quoted text, but I hit that, and this came up. So much junk on you know, anymore. him, I just hit open in print, so it looks like a word document. Yeah. Is that what you were converting, or you thought you had. I didn't have that. I just downloaded the file and opened it up, and it opened up and I think it's a PDF. And then I printed it out. That's it And then I converted it to a word file. You ups PDFO word Yeah, I converted the PDF2 word, and it It had a lot of errors.' been playing with Word ever since, but it I could give it to house I use in Boot to. You guys probably don't. It's a South African program that my son put in. No...lered the plot thickens. Well, we need to get your son to put that on our computers.. Well, he worked for IBM and traveled the world, so he used that program, and that works great for what we do with it, but some places it does kick things away. Yeah, yeah. I I say. I think if we get through this. unit, the exhibit four. the rest of them will take.. You get my email address? Yeah. Yeah, it's got the most little things people really read our. You put twos in here. No, I did. And I found out more RY. That's what I didn't. Up first upput dash,

and then I corrected it to underscore. But I had two R's. Andsm.com. I've got a real simple one. It's 40 years old, but it's simple. It's recent to keep it. Did you have that marketing your coffee about the Carass ID? It is double sided now., boy? Yeah, but I like double sided. Thank you. Save half to pay first. Appreciate it. I. Yeah, I do. I see you have that mark of definitions. I are my definition is a mark copy. I. Here. This is just section 4, though. It says no. Oh, you do it. That's the developer. to market off. Because the developers no longer in the picture. Okay.. That's why they taken off.thing has to the bell it's supposed to be gone? Yeah. So that was one be, so let's see if that's like this. All right, the ones in the side by side, that's not on there because. I mean, that That's from the last one, you know. Yeah, so quickly up here. I. Well, the changes, if you guys change that. So on your copy up there that your working document, you take out the word developer up there. the definitions page at the the top. It's rule one, B. B. Yeah. That's. All right, so you took out the developer, so that means go in your synopsis at the end. Yeah, because I don't see it on here, Rule 1, A, and then it goes to 1, E. Yeah, so developer E is missing. Okay. So that needs to be part of your synopsis of changes. I the last time. Okay. You' been showed as Mark out completely. Doesn't like there was any changes made in B. B. That's some issues with E. No, there's just different numbers. Yeah, and I see they're on this clean copy a an edit. And it says, removed reference to foam or rubber membrane roofs. Are you sure you got that started, I can add that later So what do you got the next crust on here? So then you get to Ru 1 A, which is when you got to do met. So, Jim, the next thing I see per our last meeting, I did mark on these copies, which he just made copies of, and it's rule 4A, and I just wrote on there the date that we had the meeting, which was 324, and it's Per, we should keep this because we had marked it through. So that was my next thing that that we had, yeah. Yeah, if that changed the word tie down to anchor system.'cause that's what the code was called it. Gotcha. Well, before we get to that, what was this up here that changed? Does see how they own this up there? Well, I anchored some things that. Terry, by the time we get back together next week, would you have an opportunity to go through and get all these changes on that document? I was trying to figure out this is rule one. Yeah. Okay. I think I should reword this document, you know, instead of saying, copy you know, that was kind of, you know, text or that was a name that was brought by the attorney and you know, I can call and said, I could. I could say this is like, the rules working copy or something like that. Documentation. Yeah, your final woman or something, however you want to award it, but let yourself know that, you know, this is their changes. That one on that side is their changes, this is our changes. Yeah, we're just trying to make a copy that any other we'll have one printout so people don't have to look back and forth and look on the website, right? You That would be nice. That's what we're trying to get to. So they can see, you know,

if there was a change with an Amendment or whatever it was. So what was D on there? So the next one is 1 B or 1 E. Right there. Well, I started through the code looking at that, and. It changed. You know, I agree with even the words in there that the board has to give permission, but all the rhetoric in between there words starts talking about shingles and asphalt, shingles. Somehow say, per the cove, but when I got into it, it had to do with wind loading, and it had to do with fire ratings. and a bunch of stuff that needed to be researched yet, so I didn't have I wasn't able to get that complete by afternoon's pow. Okay So that's when you're gonna revisit them? Yep. Okay. There's all some state changes are coming up, and they're looking into rough utility to stay in Florida. So you're gonna have to go back and revisit one E. There's supposed to be some kind of and we have some kind of remark about plastics and vinyl. We're going to make an allowance for that? Yeah, they marked off rubber membrane materials, are not approved, but they are now because I think it's part of the code, but that needs to be. I just need to dig. make sure we've got to add rubber, you know, that way you know where you're at. We can't keep that simple and just put it in asper. explore a code. It's different. And not put the details of ourselves in. No, we're not going to get the details. It's which particular code is. We've got Go. I know it's the 23 code right now.'s what I'm saying. This is what they were you said change on your floor building code, you're going to be like a copy. You need to re statutes and ordinances, but it's a matter of exactly what governs it. Okay. And the company hard to do the work that wouldn't be their responsibility.. Yeah, if the people take out a permit. Right. Well, they don't take out permit, they shouldn't be allowed to do that. Right. I mean, why any any changes. you have to get a permit, any improvements under \$500 is the only thing you don't need to permit for. Oh, you're on sure that that's the definition. That's why I was under definition. Of course, I could be totally wrong, but I sw that with my understanding. It's just like the sea walls. just stay out of entirely and Right. That's what's over Whatever meat sc you can do. Whatever you hit a permit for. No matter how I read it, those songs. material can be approved. Those box stones didn't fit what we had.. Let them not a thing wrong with them. They looked fine and they'll probably outlast all the others combined., right? Yes... It was approved by the counting, and there's no way we could really stop it in here. So you know, we'd probably end up. Jim, do you want us to ride on the clean copy that we're going to revisit that and change the wording? Yeah. Spray foam and rubber membrane. materials. I've got it highlighted on that one and like I said, just ran out of.. Like right here, all supposed to have aluminum. Our roofing materials. And then I quit to be the current addition of FPC with comedy and local ordinances. Is that text okay? I want to do some more research, Terry. Okay. I think it is, but I just want to make sure that we're not getting ourselves in trouble., yeah. I'll requests should be approved from the board. Yep. I know we don't get to choose color, but just make

sure somebody didn't put on a purple roof. All right, now, she has on hers, the next one was the which one? It was. Rule 4A, per vic. We thought we should keep the tie downs required by Florida law, but Jim changed the verbiage to Why did you change it to? Anchoring systems. Is that changed up there? Yes, I think so.. system. Instead of tie downs, it's anchor system. Okay. Anor systems as starting shall be methods approved by our meth methods approved. M are required for all units in the park, which are not transient in nature. Transient is to find as being removed from the sight out of frequent basis. What about getting debt? Stays of six months are shorter time period. You're not we're gonna leave that out now., We're not We're define short periods. Well, there's six months, I think they were thinking, because a lot of snowbirds have come down. Well, that's what mine says, it stays of six months or shorter time period. exception.. That's still up there. It does not apply to units and storage. So it's a change to six months to some other time period? No, I think that would be fine. And he was just reading. I just can't read it from here. Okay. And we stopped before we got to that. I was just asking if that was going to be left or whether we.. Yeah, yeah, that makes sense because it doesn't say it has to be six months, right? Yeah. Yeah. So the next one you got on there is your number six is Ral. So you got a foot in what that was. Okay. I' done before B. They got the developer. Is mine doesn't. You see it? There four B? Yeah. 4B in your skirting. take out the developer. We' it for the go up a little bit. We be. Redet and you get down there where it says space two, subsequent phases, a little bit above that. Here's a declaain copy. Go over to the other copy on the other side, from the right and over there, go up to 4B on there. Yeah, the word developer right there, a book right by the developer. So that's a change you'll have to put in there on fame. full beef, and that word was taken out, and you guys change the word there. material is unacceptable on the other side. Yeah. We' little thing down there are four big which developer was removed. That way, it's a change. You can show the people it was a change. And then on 4B also, I had a question when you're done there. Okay. see what's There you go. Okay. Now, also on 4B. The second to the last paragraph. It says, for phase two and subsequent phases, the use of stucco or berm skirting materials is unacceptable. Well, I'm in phase two, and my skirting is stucco.. So I don't know if we need to make a, you know.. says it's acceptable. Right, but they change it to unacceptable. The clean copy says unexcept.. You have no is currently. Well, and so is You guys are discussing, yes? Is it acceptable or not? I agree with Burns because Burns is pushing the dirt up for the critters and the termites to crawl up, what they want to do, and everybody else got stuckucco. I mean, how are you going to take that out? Yeah, we all, like, everybody right through here has stucco, I haven't really paid attention past my house what they have, but I'm assuming you said you haven't. Three of our four sides are? Yeah. All mine is. So, it stucco must be acceptable. Not unacceptable.ceptable.

So I would take out the word I would take out the word burn or burn. use a sto is acceptable and take out the word b because you don't really want the berm stuff. Yeah, 'cause mine basically, my lot is so much lower than. the dirt on either side of me. I was getting flooded out and I was tempted to just berm up, but instead I burned out. able made and drainage to make. What about taking out the little chains that you're making from the other it changes because I don't want to leave the burnmer. I wouldn't think we can just pile up Burric next to it. Hmm. But I don't see why you couldn't have stuccucco to the acceptable, and you're the only unacceptable would be the burn. You don't to put it in there, I don't. You put it in there. You a stucco for skirting material is acceptable, would be what you're saying? Yeah. So we're gonna remove You' have, you know, it's a skirting of the RV mobile home and with alumin, or vinyl material.. We're stuckucco. Berm is a razor, flat strip of land, awfully created a separate project from the air rams. Nope, could use a ferm. We don't have enough w. You'd be flooding your neighbors, eh? Mm hmm. Yeah, it might be good for a dike, but it wouldn't be good for us. No, for a skirting. Like brownies out. sure how to do that out. I just wouldn't get the stuffo just stop it. Just take out pour bmin for g of your.. Yep, that's easy. He's trying to take out the word unacceptable because you guys added that and it doesn't to be there doesn't be there. if somebody added it, they had to be the one to take it out. I'm not sure. Okay, just check. two legs. don't know how they am. That's what I do. Now I have a question on Rule 5 when we're ready. D. You You wanna, um, put in here what those are, thisexs? that what you're thinking about? Well, I was thinking on rule 5, a person reading this, you know, might say, well, what are the lotline setback restrictions? And I wonder if we should have the place where they can find them or have them there? They're in there somewhere. I're reading them today. Well, like, yeah, but actually, I did mark that on that clean copy, but that. you know, I was trying to, it looked to me like it said, something like 10 feet or something. Right, it was it did, but see, my says 20 feet when I tried to apply for something. It said 20 feet, and that's not on here at all. Yeah, Phase one is supposed to be 10 feet. Phase 2 is supposed to be 20 feet. Oh, that's in front. That's from the front. in the road.. But I see 10 feet, but I don't see 20 feet in. Oh, wait, no, I do see 20 feet. I think it is in there. I think I read that. Yep, I do see it 20 feet along the front road L line. So when you roofs six, is that when you were talking about? Uh, yeah, no, Rule five. Uh, where it is, comply with minimum setbacks, put C rule 24. Correct. In Rule 5? Yeah. See Rule 24. Yeah, 'cause that shows where the setbacks are. Yeah. Good catch. We already talked about little six b repill, you got to put that verbiage back in there. Okay, this is repealed. And when we decide when you decide you're gonna do your clean copy, you can just renumber the stuff for the lawyer. And let's see what we wrote on the last copy here. Okay, flags, that was where we had the next thing, the last meeting. Bef before you get to Flags, you're at pets. Yeah. But

this looked correct to me, so I didn't think it was a problem. Uh, did it say two? 'Cause that's what I'm saying. Do you have that identified as a change, pets? Which is two, and the other rule said, one, two, Care, and then there's a whole A and B, A. A's taken out. He's out.. So make sure that's on your synopsis. It changes. And then the pet weight was also changed. Yeah, that's not more than 40 pounds. Yeah. What do you guys think about that weight? Not more than 40 pounds? I mean, seriously. A lot of places have that. Apartments and condos and stuff. I mean, I know it's gonna, like, for instance, Mason is 60 pounds, and he's, like, grandfathered in, but once this has changed, then anybody bringing a pet in has to be less than 400. I don't. It's new vets. I don't feel badly about that, if that's the rules, because if I move somewhere else, it'd probably be 25 pounds. Well, according to our insurance, you know, there's a list of pets that don't aren't, that the insurance doesn't, you know, necessarily cover. And the way we cover ourselves is make the tenants have their own liability insurance for those pets. That's some we've got to make sure we start. Should that be in the rule? I think it is. Yeah. I think it is in there, but we'll get to it and Because that'd be a separate addendum on our own insurance, and I've never been questioned about it. I may have it. I just don't know. I haven't looked at that. I'm Looking on there to make sure it's on there as far as the weight, Where did it say that the owner has to have their own liability insurance? I didn't see it on here. What was rule 10? That was repealed. We're still on pets. Okay. Yeah. Oh, that's part of pets. Oh, no, it's just switch. I was looking for the insurance. Yeah, 'cause you got G under Pets also. Well, you got A is removed. And you got G is. Got a bunch of changes... B insurance. license. Should it say if my speed licensed and insured? License inoculated and insured.. Yeah. I thought it did somewhere, because I thought I read it. But then, what are the insurance guidelines, like a million dollars or something? I there has to be a... It'd be their insurance agent telling what it would have to be, but as long as we say have liability insurance to cover the pet. So add it. Yeah, I would if I was you guys. What do you take, Joe? Yeah. You need to. And then are they gonna have to prove it by giving the office a copy? That's the next thing. Well, I think that would be something if you got somebody that's got a dangerous pet, your pet, nobody would come and say, "Hey, we need to see your liability insurance for that dog, but if it's a mean dog and we don't cover it, then yeah, we need to give them to give it to us. That would be my thought process. I'm not sure you need to put that in writing, but... Damn it, Jim.. Um, so, so on rule 9, a, license requirements, each pet must be licensed, inoculated, and have liability insurance or something like that. Yeah. It was there. That's what it is, it was there, and they took part of it out.'C Rule 1 ace said, each pet must be registered with the board on forms provided, you know, you don't have to have all that on there. With a photograph of the pet., it was. Thorough. Yeah. So you're going to add the.. license to knock in accordance with them.

rules. And have liability insurance. No, we outlying like pit bulls or anything like that any particular brand? We can't outl them per se, but we can tell them our insurance won't cover liability, which they will not they give us a list of them, so you have to cover have your own liability insurance for it. And if you don't want to do that, then we can help long. It. All right, do we have a list of those dogs that have to? Yeah, they send us that list, right? Yeah, insurance, it's company has a list. Well, we put that list on there and just those animals require insurance. proof and then you could have it'd be limited to that, then you could have proof of insurance must be a file, must be on file in the office. if I can find it. All right, we're past your 4'clock, all.s. And before we break, it's probably a good time to do it let Car Terry catch up with this particular document squared way back to us.. So we're going to end on Rule 10, then? Okay. What we done with nine? Almost. Okay. All right, we're down. Is it going okay for us? Gosh. Um.... I and get some pins, I think. So we're 5 out of 17, we got 12 pages of this left. What are you guys think about d these other 12 pages up between the two, three, four, five of us and what? I don't know what it would feed up matters any or not, 'cause we'd still have to go through every one of them. If we My vision, and I may be wrong, but if we divvy these up in such a manner that we've each got an assignment, we'll come in synops. Size. Make a synopsis of those pages the next meeting. And then at the next meeting to discuss them. As I highlighted everything that I kind of I saw, but I didn't make any changes because I thought we needed to have a discussion about. And that's where I was hoping Terry was going to have, or at least, I'll blame it on me, because I thought I was more up to speed on how to use this thing when I may be, but I thought these changes were already part of it. So if you get us caught up, then we ask. You know, so basically I renamed this document and it's going to have the Mark D copy and the clean copy combined together for our documentation. Okay. We'll be available for download? And I will send a link to all that document. While you're in here, tell tell us a little bit about this. Is it a word document? Is it a PDF? Is it bold? It's a word document. Okay. So when you get this email, you open it up and there's a link that says, open Word document, something along this line. Yeah. And is that going to the cloud, what particular part is going? Wow, it's this micropoft. Cloud, one drive. One drive, one drive.. Okay. That's what it is. That's where it's stored, but you need a link, you an email link to that document. And everybody should have it. You're going to be a patient teacher. Yeah, no problem. You know, so once I get that updated, then will we leave it to every individual, get their own Christian copy? I' Well, if you got to tell us how to print it, if that's what you want, it's started by wants to do, it's just. Can't just email the work file to us? Yeah, that's what I find to do. That That'd be easier. They'll be opening up the one that everybody else can see as one document. So it won't just be a word document on your computer. It'll be the word document that's sucked down out of the clown. Can I

say that halfway right? Yeah. Yeah. It's clouds can be sucked, yes. And what's your thoughts, Peter? No, are you okay with that copy? The cloud can be awful close to fog. I will. I have a friend. And if that's what works for you, I would say, keep it that way and bring yours, because you got something in your book, too, that needs to be changed and stuff. As long as we get through them all. Yeah. That's what he got about. five more itrumms, I'm just rest of it. Yeah, and one of them was brought to my attention. I didn't know, and he brought it up when we found out that the gate used to be manned and part of the being manned was everybody had to have a sticker on their car that we provided them to have on their car. Huh. We don't do that anymore, so we need to take that rule out or decide what we're gonna do. Do you remember where that rule was? Yeah, it's. Rule 19. Yeah. Okay. We need. June 19A..

1: [Document.docx]